

Exploring a Calibration Discrepancy at SAGE & GALLEX: the direct Q-value measurement of ${}^{51}Cr(e^-,v_e){}^{51}V$ at TITAN

Tegan D Macdonald ISAC Science Forum February 27, 2013



Overview

- Neutrino physics at SAGE and GALLEX
- The calibration discrepancy
- Penning trap mass spectrometry
- TITAN's ${}^{51}Cr(e^-,v_e){}^{51}VQ$ -value measurement
- Preliminary results for ${}^{51}Cr(e,v_e){}^{51}V$ and ${}^{71}Ga(v_e,e){}^{71}Ge$
- Conclusions



Solar Neutrino Experiments



- Nuclear reactions in the sun result in a solar neutrino flux
- Early chlorine detectors detected only 1/3 of the predicted flux
- Gallium detectors are sensitive to the more abundant pp neutrinos
- SAGE and GALLEX took data for 15+ years counting the number of Ge atoms produced via ⁷¹Ga(v_e,e⁻)⁷¹Ge
- Provided evidence for solar neutrino oscillations





The Calibration Discrepancy

- Source experiments were performed to verify experimental procedures
- Employed a known neutrino flux from ⁵¹Cr(e^{-,} v_e)⁵¹V and ³⁷Ar(e^{-,} v_e)³⁷Cl
- The ratio between the measured and expected production rates is 0.87±0.05: ~3σ deviation from unity



Results of all neutrino source experiments with gallium [PRC 80 015807 (2009)]



A Bit of Nuclear Physics...

 $R = \sigma F N \varepsilon$

- *R* rate of germanium detected
- σ cross-section for the reaction
- *F* neutrino flux
- *N* number of gallium atoms
- $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ detector efficiency
- Possible explanations for the unexpected result include:
 - Efficiency factors: these have been well determined with many ancillary experiments
 - Number of gallium atoms: cannot account for the 3σ deviation
 - Statistical fluctuation: probability of observing the obtained result in agreement with unity is 5.3%
 - Physics of unknown origin: sterile neutrinos, quantum decoherence in neutrino oscillations...
 - Imprecise knowledge of production rates: cross-sections
- The cross section depends on the mass difference (Q-value) of the parent and daughter in both ⁵¹Cr- and ⁷¹ Ge-decay→ TITAN



TITAN Experimental Setup

K F

- ISAC : delivers isotope beam
- **RFQ**: accumulates, cools, and bunches
- EBIT: increases the q/m ratio by charge breeding
- **CPET**: cools the highly charged ion beam
- MPET: uses time-of-flight ion-cylcotron-resonance (TOF-ICR) technique for mass determination





Penning Trap Mass Spectrometry

- Static electric quadrupole (axial) and strong magnetic field (radial) for confinement
- Ions undergo radial and axial harmonic motion
- The cyclotron frequency of an ion in a magnetic field is related to its charge to mass ratio:

$$Y_c = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{q}{m} B$$

• Most accurate and precise method for determining the mass of nucildes









Sikler lens

Max Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics

EBIT - Charge Breeder

superconducting magnet 4Kelvin, 6Tesla Helmholtz configuration and drift tube assembly

> electron collector

Gun 450mA achieved upgradeable to 5A soon -60kV bias



Q-value Measurement

 To remove the uncertainty from the magnetic field, the ratio of cyclotron frequencies is used

•
$$v_c = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{q}{m} B$$

• $R = \frac{v_1}{v_2} = \frac{q_1 m_2}{q_2 m_1}$

•
$$Q = (R - 1)(M_1 - qm_e + BE_q)$$

⁵¹Cr⁵⁺ TOF-ICR Resonance



• A direct Q-value measurement is done by measuring the frequency of both chromium and vanadium



⁵¹Cr(e⁻,v_e)⁵¹V Preliminary Results



- A series of systematic tests measuring the Qvalue
- Different charge states and different excitation schemes are all in agreement





 Using the AME 2012 value of ⁵¹V as the reference ion the preliminary result is 751.61(54) keV

Source	Q-value (keV)
AME 2003	752.58(24)
AME 2012	752.63(24)
TITAN	751.61(54)



⁷¹Ga(v_e,e⁻)⁷¹Ge Q-value Measurement



	varue (kev)
AME2012	232.6(1.2)
TITAN Total	233.5(1.5)

• The neutrino capture cross section in gallium depends on the Q-value for the reaction



D Frekers et al., submitted to PLB (2013)



Conclusions

- The calibration discrepancy at solar neutrino experiments SAGE and GALLEX is yet unresolved
- Penning trap mass spectrometry is the most precise and accurate tool for direct mass measurements and it had not been used for Q-values relevant to SAGE and GALLEX until TITAN
- ${}^{51}Cr(v_e, e^-){}^{51}V$ –result shows a statistically significant shift from the previous literature value, but the 0.1% change cannot account for the calibration discrepancy
- ⁷¹Ga(e⁻, v_e)⁷¹G result agrees with the previous literature value within the 1.5 keV uncertainty
- The results confirm that imprecise knowledge of the Q-values cannot account for the calibration discrepancy



Thanks to the TITAN Collaboration

The TITAN graduate students:

T.D. Macdonald (NSERC CGS-M), A.T. Gallant (NSERC CGS-D), J.C. Bale, U. Chowdhury, A. Lennarz, J. Pearkes

Post Doctoral Fellows:

B. Schultz, A. Chaudhuri, A. Grossheim, A.A. Kwiatkowski, K. Leach, M.C. Simon

Collaborators:

C. Andreoiu (SFU), M. Brodeur (NCSL), T. Brunner (Stanford U), S. Ettenauer (Harvard U), G. Gwinner (U Manitoba), D. Frekers (Munster), M. Pearson (TRIUMF), A. Lapierre (NSCL)

Principal investigator:

J. Dilling (TRIUMF/UBC)







Questions...? Thank you!